



Horizon 2020 Initiative to de-pollute the Mediterranean by the year 2020

www.h2020.net

in collaboration with UNEP/MAP

Training on mercury management and remediation of contaminated sites

"Key Points in a Contaminated Site Investigation" Almadén, Spain, 18th-19th November 2015

Joan Bartoll Management Department of Contaminated Soils Waste Agency of Catalonia







To explain the key aspects to be considered in an investigation of a mercury contaminated site. Why?

Before accepting the results of a potentially contaminated site investigation we must assess the investigation itself.

The site investigation has been done properly? Is the characterization enough? Do I understand the uncertainties associated with the collection and analysis of soil samples? Does the conceptual model make sense? Are the data coherent with the conceptual model?





Site investigation is a very **important part of Environmental Sound Management** (ESM) of mercury contaminated sites.

In fact site investigation is the critical work to define the management actions.







This presentation is related to some points of the **"Draft Guidelines on Best** Environmental Practices for the Environmental Sound Management of Mercury Contaminated Sites".

Identification of mercury-contaminated sites Identification of environmental impacts Environmental characterization of mercury-contaminated sites Sample preparation and analytical procedures Risk assessment





UNEP(DEPI)/MED WG.417/8



UNITED NATIONS ENVIRONMENT PROGRAMME MEDITERRANEAN ACTION PLAN

15 May 2015 Original: English

MED POL Focal Points Meeting Malta, 16-19 June 2015

Joint Session MED POL and REMPEC Focal Points Meetings Malta, 17 June 2015

Agenda item 7: Draft Guidelines on Best Environmental Practices for the Environmental Sound Management of Mercury Contaminated Sites





POTENTIALLY CONTAMINATED SITE INVESTIGATION







A site investigation is a **phased approach** to determining the **type and extent of any contamination** and determining if significant **risk** exists from the toxic or hazardous substances. It involves characterizing a site to get a **conceptual model** which is going to be updated as the investigation phases are carried out.

A site investigation should be based on **a conceptual model** and should contribute to develop and improve it.

PEROLOREI (uq/l)

3500

3000

2500

1000

500

100

10









POTENTIALLY CONTAMINATED SITE INVESTIGATION











To characterize a contaminated site it should be established:

- The original sources of contamination
- The distribution of contamination
- If significant risk exists to human health or to the environment



CONCEPTUAL MODEL



A conceptual model is a simplified description of the environmental conditions and its purpose is to identify potential sources of contamination, distribution of contaminants in the environment compartments, receptors which may be affected by contamination and pathways which may link both.





CONCEPTUAL MODEL



A conceptual model is usually represented by a mixture of pictures, diagrams and text and it is used to provide a vision of the site.







CONCEPTUAL MODEL



The conceptual site model should be developed before undertaking a detailed site investigation and it should be proved and updated regularly during the successive phases of the site investigation.



INVESTIGATION PHASES



The investigation of a site potentially contaminated should be undertaken in phases.

Every site will not necessarily require each phase to be carried out.

| The main investigation phases | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| This presentation | | Other common alternative descriptor | | |
| Phase 1 | Preliminary site investigation | Preliminary Phase, Preliminary site study, stage 1; Phase 1 desk top study; Phase 1 background information study; Phase 1 contaminated site audit; Phase 1 environmental site assessment (ESA); Preliminary recognition | | |
| Includes preliminary site inspection | | Site walkover survey; Phase 1 site inspection | | |
| Phase 2 | Detailed site investigation | Stage 2; Phase 2 field investigation; Phase 2 ESA; environmental benchmarking, sampling and analysis phase; Intrusive investigation, Preliminary evaluation | | |
| | Could include: an exploratory investigation before a main invest Includes environmental risk assessment (ERA) | igation | | |
| | Supplementary site investigation or additional investigation | Additional phase 2 ESA; Phase 3 ESA, Detailed evaluation | | |
| after remediation | Site validation investigation or control of the pollution remaining | Remediation validation investigation; soil benchmarking, control of the expected results | | |



PRELIMINARY SITE INVESTIGATION



The main objective of the preliminary site investigation is to provide <u>background</u> <u>information</u> relevant to a potential contamination. The present and past uses of the site should be included in order to identify the nature of potential contaminants.

- It involves compiling information about the site to design the initial conceptual site model.
- It is often combined with a preliminary site inspection.
- It should always be carried out before any sampling or analysis is undertaken





A chronological history of the site and previous site uses should be traced from the present day back to the initial use.

The previous activities and processes on the site, and the chemicals and products used, stored or disposed of at the site, should be identified.





SITE HISTORY



review of discharge permits, consents or licences











SITE HISTORY

aerial photos.







SITE HISTORY







Are (or were) the industrial activities in a site "potentially soil contaminant activities"?

There are <u>lists of activities and industries</u> that are considered to have a higher potential for soil contamination because they use of hazardous substances.

Soil Potential Contaminating Activities List, stablished and published by RD9/2005.

http://www.magrama.gob.es/es/calidad-y-evaluacion-ambiental/temas/suelos-contaminados/09047122800b7aff_tcm7-3206.pdf

ANNEX I

Potentially soil-contaminating activities

| CNAE93-Rev1 | Description |
|-------------|--|
| 11.10 | Extraction of crude petroleum and natural gas |
| 11.20 | Service activities incidental to oil and gas extraction, excluding surveying |
| 13.20 | Mining of non-ferrous metal ores, except uranium and thorium ores |
| 15.40 | Manufacture of vegetable and animal oils and fats |
| 17.30 | Finishing of textiles |
| 17.542 | Manufacture of textiles impregnated, hardened or covered with plastic materials |
| 18.301 | Dressing, tanning and dyeing of furs |
| 19.10 | Dressing, tanning and finishing of leather |
| 20.10 | Sawmilling and planing of wood; industrial preparation of wood |
| 20.20 | Manufacture of veneer sheets; manufacture of plywood, laminboard, particle board, fibre board and other panels and |
| | boards |
| 21.1 | Manufacture of pulp, paper and paperboard |
| 21.24 | Manufacture of wallpaper |
| 22.2 | Printing and service activities related to printing (1) |
| 23.10 | Manufacture of coke oven products |





PRELIMINARY INSPECTION









PRELIMINARY INSPECTION













PRELIMINARY INSPECTION









DETAILED SITE INVESTIGATION



A detailed site investigation may be required to confirm or qualify the findings of the preliminary site investigation.

Soil samples should be analyzed for contaminants identified on the basis of the preliminary site study.

Sampling of groundwater is required in a site investigation unless it can be demonstrated that there is no water table or there's limited potential for groundwater being contaminated.

The results from the detailed site investigation should be assessed and the conceptual site model updated.

If a contamination is identified, a risk assessment should be carried out to determine its impact on human health or the environment.





The soil-sampling strategy should be based on the objectives and on the preliminary investigation results.

Common sampling objectives could be:

- to establish the type and location of sources of contamination
- to establish the nature, degree and extent of contaminant distribution
- to verify following a clean-up that the contamination on site has been reduced
- waste characterization purposes







SOIL SAMPLING

There are different types of sampling patterns commonly used:

- judgemental or selective
- systematic
- random
- stratified.













SOIL SAMPLING TECHNIQUES

Grab sampling (push tubes, shovel)

| Advantages |
|--------------------------|
| Low cost |
| Quick |
| No access restrictions |
| Minimal soil disturbance |

Disadvantages Depth limit: surface – 0.3 m Impractical in difficult soil conditions Care is required to ensure the quality of sample recovered









SOIL SAMPLING TECHNIQUES

| | Advantages | Disadvantages |
|------------|--------------------------|---|
| Hand auger | Low cost | Depth limit: 2–3 m (with ease) |
| U | Quick | Impractical in difficult soil conditions |
| | No access restrictions | Limited ability to observe the nature of the material |
| | Minimal soil disturbance | Labour intensive |





SOIL SAMPLING TECHNIQUES

Test pits (machine dug)

Advantages Lower cost than boreholes Relatively quick Ability to make detailed observations of the strata Ability to recover samples Disadvantages Extent of soil disturbance, occupational exposure, compaction Depth limit is 3–5 m depending on excavator Impractical in unstable soil conditions and hard rock Not suitable for installing monitoring bores due to disturbance









Advantages

Boreholes (different types of drilling rings and drilling systems) Minor disturbance of soils Limited occupational exposure Accurate recovery of samples Ability to sample at depth Suitable for most ground conditions Can be used for installing groundwater and gas monitoring wells

SOIL SAMPLING TECHNIQUES

Disadvantages

More expensive than other techniques Limited ability to observe materials Air rotary rigs not suitable for volatiles Can cause preferential pathways for contaminant migration, if not appropriately constructed









GROUNDWATER SAMPLING













SOIL GAS SAMPLING













Reference levels are <u>guideline concentrations</u> to indicate that a concentration of a contaminant substance in the soil or in other environment compartment could result in risk for the human health or the ecosystems.

If a concentration surpasses the reference levels it's necessary to develop a risk assessment.

| substances | Reference levels in mg/Kg | Soil samples concentration | | | | | | | |
|------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|------|------|-----|-------|------|--------|--------|
| | urban uses | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| Mercury | 3 | < 1 | < 1 | < 1 | < 1 | < 1 | < 1 | < 0,05 | < 0,05 |
| Arsenic | 30 | 15 | 7 | < 5 | 14 | < 5 | 11 | 7,9 | 5,2 |
| Bari | 880 | 590 | 290 | 170 | 120 | 100 | 8300 | 110 | 51 |
| Cadmium | 5,5 | 3 | 4 | 14 | < 3 | 14 | 4 | 0,31 | 0,38 |
| Cupper | 310 | 10000 | 3100 | 3300 | 18 | 14000 | 5600 | 29 | 9,2 |
| Chromium | 1000 | 260 | 83 | 63 | 45 | 120 | 160 | 21 | 23 |
| Molybdenum | 7 | 8 | 11 | < 5 | 13 | 8 | < 5 | < 1 | < 1 |
| Nickel | 470 | 930 | 170 | 200 | 24 | 350 | 320 | 16 | 14 |
| Lead | 60 | 450 | 530 | 570 | 25 | 330 | 990 | 27 | 5,3 |
| Zinc | 650 | 1900 | 2900 | 1900 | 62 | 7700 | 1800 | 53 | 35 |





REFERENCE LEVELS

Soils reference levels (NGR) for metals and metalloids applicable in Catalonia



| ELEMENT | INDUSTRIAL USE mg/kg ds | URBAN USE mg/kg ds | OTHER USES mg/kg ds |
|----------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|
| Antimony | 30 * | 6 ** | 6 ** |
| Arsenic | 30 ** | 30 ** | 30 ** |
| Barium | 1.000 *** | 880 | 500 |
| Beryllium | 90 | 40 | 10 |
| Cadmium | 55 * | 5.5 | 2.5 |
| Cobalt | 90 | 45 | 25 ** |
| Copper | 1.000 *** | 310 | 90 |
| Chromium (III) | 1.000 *** | 1.000 *** | 400 |
| Chromium (VI) | 25 | 10 | 1 |
| Tin | 1.000 *** | 1.000 *** | 50 |
| Mercury | 30 * | 3 | 2 ** |
| Molybdenum | 70 * | 7 * | 3,5 ** |
| Nickel | 1.000 *** | 470 * | 45 ** |
| Lead | 550 * | 60 ** | 60 ** |
| Selenium | 70 * | 7 * | 0,7 |
| Thallium | 45 * | 4,5 * | 1,5 ** |
| Vanadium | 1.000 *** | 190 | 135 ** |
| Zinc | 1.000 *** | 650 * | 170 ** |

Waste Agency of Catalonia (ARC)

http://residus.gencat.cat/en/ambits_dactuacio/sols_contaminats/nivells_generics_de_referenc ia_ngr/valors_dels_ngr_per_metalls_i_metal_loides_i_proteccio_salut/





REFERENCE LEVELS

Natural background levels of mercury in Catalonia

From: 270 samples of soil: 203 in natural land 30 in urban zones 37 in industrial zones





http://residus.gencat.cat/web/.content/home/lagencia/publicacions/sols_contaminats/sols_nivelldefons.pdf





Groundwater reference <u>levels for metals</u> on sites contaminated by isolated point sources in Catalonia.

| | No Risk Values (VGNR) ug/l | Remediation Values (VGI) ug/I |
|-------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Substances GRUP 1 | | |
| Mercury | 1 | 1,5 |
| Arsenic | 15 | 40 |
| Cadmium | 15 | 70 |
| Antimony | 20 | 60 |
| Chromium(VI) | 100 | 450 |



Catalan Water Agency (ACA)

http://aca-web.gencat.cat/aca/appmanager/aca/aca? nfpb=true& pageLabel=P2340022971261653874000&profileLocale=en





Does the site represent a real or potential risk to the human population and/or to the environment? What is the magnitude of the risk? Should the site be restored to reduce the risk?

The environmental risk assessment (ERA) is a process that assigns magnitudes and probabilities to the adverse effects of contamination. It is an instrument that can help to define whether or not environmental measures should be implemented at a contaminated site.

The ideal decontamination objective would be to restore the site with concentrations to the levels found in the environment prior to contamination. However, this may be economically unfeasible and a decontamination based on risk target levels should be considered.





RBCA Tool Kit for Chemical Releases, Versión 2.52e



Compuestos de interés (CDI) en los focos

| CDI seleccionados 🔅 | | | | | | Concentración r | ер | resentativa de |
|---------------------|------------------|----------------------|--|----------------------|--------|--------------------------|----|-----------------------|
| Seleccionar | Ordenar la lista | | | F | oco de | l agua subterránea | | |
| Añadir / Borrar | Inicio Fin | Mover Mover abajo | | Introducir (ma/L) | • | Ingresar datos del sitio | | Introducir (ma/ka) |
| Mercurio | | | | 3,4E-3 | | | | 3,3E+0 |
| Metil mercurio | | | | 3,0E-4 | | | | 1,2E+0 |
| Hidróxido de metilm | ercurio | | | 5,0E-4 | | | | |





RBCA Tool Kit for Chemical Releases, Versión 2.52e

| Diagrama (| de rutas de e | xposición | Nombre Lugar: (| del sitio: mercury worksohop contaminated site |) | | Nombre de trabajo: Fecha: 3-nov-yy |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|---|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Compartimiento ambiental del foco | Mecanismos | de transporte | Realizad | do por: Joan Bartoll Medios de exposición | <u>En sitio</u> | Receptores Fuera del sitio 1 | <u>Fuera del sitio 2</u> |
| Suelo superficial afectado | Erosión eólica | | | Suelo Superficial: ingestión directa / contacto dérmico / inhalación /ingestión de vegetales | Res./Constr. | NA | NA |
| | | Dispersión atmosférica | | Aire: Inhalación de vapor | Aire Exterior: Com./Constr. | Residencial | Residencial |
| Subsuelo | Subsuelo afectado | | | y/o particulas | Comercial | Residencial | Residencial |
| Aquas | | | | Aguas subterráneas: Ingestión de agua potable | Comercial | Residencial | Residencial |
| subterráneas afectadas | | subterráneas | | Agua superficial: Inmersión, consumo de pescado, vida acuática | NA | NA | NA |
| FOCO | TRANSPORTE | RECEPTOR | | Comando | os y Opciones | Immeineir | Aunda |
| | | | - | | oiver | Imprimit | Ayuda |





| Identificación de las rutas de exposición | Nombre del sitio: mercury worksohop |
|--|---|
| identificación de las rutas de exposición | Lugar: contaminated site |
| 1. Exposición al agua subterránea | Realizado por: Joan Bartoll |
| Ingestión de agua subterránea/ | Nombre de trabajo: Fecha: 3-nov- |
| impacto al agua superficial | 3. Exposición al aire Volatilización y partículas - |
| Becenter Com Pes Pes | inhalación de aire ambiental |
| Receptor, Cull. • Nes. • Nes. | |
| En sitio Fuera del sitio 1 Fuera del sitio 2 | Receptor: Com. Kes. Kes. |
| Distancia: 0 100 500 (m) | En sitio Fuera del sitio 1 Fuera del sitio 2 |
| Compartimiento ambiental del foco: | Distancia: 0 100 500 (m) |
| Aguas subterráneas afectadas | Compartimiento ambiental del foco: Obrero de Construcción |
| Suelos afectados lixiviando a aguas subterráneas | Suelos afectados: volatilización a aire ambiental |
| Opción: | Aguas subterráneas afectadas: volatilización a aire ambiental |
| Aplicar el valor MCL como LEBR para ingestión (sólo cálculo inverso) | Suelos superficiales afectados: partículas al aire ambiental |
| | |
| Exposición por descarga de aguas subterráneas y contacto con agua superficial | Volatilización - inhalación en aire interior |
| Natación | Receptor: Com, V Res. V Res. V |
| Consumo de pescado | En sitio Euera del sitio 1 Euera del sitio 2 |
| Criteriae de calidad de aqua especificados | Compartimiento ambiente del foco: Distancia: 0 100 500 (m) |
| Criterios de calidad de agua especificados | |
| Ingresar Oriterios | Suelos alectados, volalización a alle interior |
| 2. Expeciación al cuelo cuporficial | Suelos atectados lixiviando a aguas subterraneas: volatilización a aire interior |
| 2. Exposicion di suelo superficial Exposicion combinada ? | Aguas subterráneas afectadas: volatilización a aire interior Opciones para edificio |
| Rutas Apicadas | |
| Receptor: Kes. V Ingestión directa | 4. Comandos y opciones |
| En sitio Contacto dérmico | |
| Obrero de Construcción 🗸 🧹 Inhalación | Pantalia Imprimir pagina Cambiar unidades Ayuda |
| (volatilización + partículas) | Diagrama de Auja de |
| Opciones para vegetales | Factores de exposición y riesgo aceptable Diagrama de llujo de exposición |
| opening pairs pairs agained in ingening to regening | |





A review of the detailed investigation may still identify aspects where there is a deficiency of information, then a supplementary investigation will be required.

- data on areas of concern not investigated during the detailed site investigation
- a clearer delineation or definition of a particular area or depth of contamination
- information to confirm the applicability of a particular remedial option
- long term monitoring of groundwater and ground gas wells







And finally..., the key points are:

- Get a proved conceptual model
- Carry out the investigation by phases:
- ✓ the preliminary site investigation should be emphasized, especially the site history investigation
- ✓ the detailed site investigation should be designed based on the results of the preliminary site investigation







GUIDELINES ABOUT CONTAMINATED SOIL INVESTIGATION AND SAMPLING DESIGN

ARC, Waste Agency of Catalonia, (2012) "Methodological guide to carry out vacuum assays in vapor devices at gas stations" (in Spanish):

http://residus.gencat.cat/web/.content/home/lagencia/publicacions/sols_contaminats/guia_meto_cast.pdf

Department of Environmental and Conservation, Government of Western Australia (2001): "Development of Sampling and Analysis Programs (Guideline for the Assessment of Sites Incorporating Underground Storage Tanks and Contaminated Site Assessment Guidelines for the Development of Sampling and Analysis Programs as amended)" www.environ.wa.gov.au

Department of Environment and Conservation, Government of Western Australia (2010): "Assessment Levels for Soil, Sediment and Water".

http://www.esdat.net/Environmental%20Standards/Australia/WA/Assessment%20Levels%20-%202010.pdf

Ministry for the Environment of New Zealand (2004): "Contaminated Land Management Guidelines No. 5. Site Investigation and Analysis of Soils (Revised 2011)"

http://www.mfe.govt.nz/publications/land-hazards/contaminated-land-management-guidelines-no-5-site-investigation-and

US EPA (2002): "Guidance on Choosing a Sampling Design for Environmental Data Collection for Use in Developing a Quality Assurance Project Plan, EPA QA/G-5S"

http://www.water.ca.gov/environmentalservices/docs/qaqc/choosing_a_sampling_design_for_environmental_data_collection.pdf

The Centre for Research into the Built Environment (1994): "UK Contaminated Land Research Report CLR 4, Sampling strategies for contaminated land".

http://www.eugris.info/envdocs/CLR04_00.pdf











To characterize a mercury contaminated site it should be established:

- Which are the original sources of contamination
- The distribution of contaminants in the site and in the environmental compartments
- The zones of the site with different contamination characteristics, if in the soil are likely to be on the surface or at depth, distributed over an entire area or in localized 'hot spots'
- What are the forms of mercury present: metallic mercury, inorganic mercury, methyl mercury, and the total amount of mercury
- What are the environmental compartments impacted; water (surface, groundwater); biota (fish, plants,..); soil, soil gas and sediments and air
- What is the extent of the contaminated area
- The behavior of mercury in environmental compartments
- What are the consequences of the pollution, both in and out of the site
- If significant risk exists to human health or to the environment









The sources of information for the site history may include:

- Interviews with site personnel and neighbours, covering questions relating to site history, any known incidents or complaints, management practices, waste disposal, and any chemical storage areas
- A review of permits and licenses under environmental legislation; discharge permits; consents to discharge to air, water or ground; trade waste consents; and dangerous goods, hazardous substances, licences
- A review of available environmental reports, environmental incident investigation reports, tank removal records, process descriptions, waste disposal and chemical inventories, material safety data sheets and newspaper articles
- Local authority record reviews, including regional council databases
- A review of historical society records, and any relevant literature relating to the site, purchase transactions sale of sites, due diligences
- The layout of current and historical facilities, and site drainage plans
- Photographic records, including aerial photos. Inventory of sites and potentially soil polluting activities done by different administrations.
- Enforcement by existing legislation related to potentially soil polluting activities









In its elemental form, mercury (CAS No. 7439-97-6) is a dense, silvery white metal, which is a volatile liquid at room temperature. Mercury readily forms amalgams with a variety of other metals including sodium and zinc, although not with the lighter transition metals such as iron.

Inorganic mercury can be transformed by bacteria into methylmercury in sediments and soils, at a rate depending of the physic-chemical characteristics of the soil. Methylmercury (CH3Hg+) is a highly toxic bioavailable form of organic mercury and cumulative throughout the food chain

The three major forms (speciation) that can be found in the environment are:

- Metallic mercury (Hg°), in liquid and gas equilibrium depending of the temperature.
- Inorganic mercury (Hg2+, HgO, HgCl2, HgCl...)
- Organic mercury (CH3-Hg-CH3, CH3-Hg-NH2, CH3-Hg-SH...)





HOCIZOD 2020 TO DE-POLLUTE THE MEDITERRANEAN BY THE YEAR 2020

Chemical data for mercury from the UK Environmental Agency "Soil Guideline Values for mercury in soil Science Report SC050021 / Mercury SGV ";

Recommended chemical data for elemental mercury, inorganic mercury and methylmercury (at 10°C unless stated)

https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/313877/scho0309bpqg-e-e.pdf



Table 6

Notes:

| Chemical property | | Elemental Hg | li | norganic Hg ²⁺ | Methyl Hg* | | |
|---|-------------------------|--|------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|--|--|
| Air-water partition coefficient, dimensionless | 0.117 | Estimated by Clapeyron relationship from the Henry's Law constant at 25°C | NA | | [‡] 9.00 × 10 ⁻⁶ | Recommended literature value | |
| Dermal absorption fraction, dimensionless | NR | | 0 | Environment Agency (2009b) | 0.1 | Environment Agency (2009b) | |
| Diffusion coefficient in air, m² s⁻¹ | 6.34 × 10 ⁻⁶ | Estimated by Heinsohn and Cimbala method (2003) | NA | | 8.61 × 10 ⁻⁶ | Estimated by FSG method | |
| Diffusion coefficient in water, m ² s ⁻¹ | 2.00 × 10 ⁻⁹ | Estimated by Hayduk and Laudie method (1974) | NA | | 8.61 × 10 ⁻¹⁰ | Estimated by Hayduk and Laudie method | |
| Octanol-water partition coefficient (log), dimensionless | 0.62 | Recommended literature value | NA | | 1.7 | Recommended literature value | |
| Organic carbon-water partition coefficient (log), cm ³ g ⁻¹ | 4.16 # | Estimated from soil-water partition coefficient | NA | | 1.9 | Calculated using non- hydrophobic relationship with the octanol-water partition coefficient | |
| Relative molecular mass, g mol ⁻¹ | 200.59 | Recommended literature value | NA | | 251.1 | Recommended literature value | |
| Soil-water partition coefficient, cm ³ g ⁻¹ | NA | | 500 | Recommended literature value | NA | | |
| Vapour pressure, Pa | 0.07028 | Recommended literature value | NA | | 1.13 (25°C) | Recommended literature value | |
| Water solubility, mg L ⁻¹ | 0.056 (25°C) | Recommended literature value | 74,000 (20°C) | Recommended literature value | 100 (21°C) | Recommended literature value | |
| Soil-to-dust transport factor, dimensionless | 0.5 | Environment Agency (2009b) | 0.5 | Environment Agency (2009b) | 0.5 | Environment Agency (2009b) | |
| Sub-surface soil to indoor air correction factor | 1 | Environment Agency (2009b) | 1 | Environment Agency (2009b) | 1 | Environment Agency (2009b) | |
| Soil-to-plant concentration factor, mg kg [?] FW plant per mg kg ⁻¹ soil | | | | | | | |
| Green vegetable produce | NR | | 3.8 × 10 ⁻³ | Recommended literature value | [†] 3.8 × 10 ⁻³ | Recommended literature value | |
| Root vegetable produce | NR | | 6.9 × 10 ⁻³ | Recommended literature value | [†] 6.9 × 10 ⁻³ | Recommended literature value | |
| Tuber vegetable produce | NR | | 4.3 × 10 ⁻³ | Recommended literature value | [†] 4.3 × 10 ⁻³ | Recommended literature value | |
| Herbaceous fruit produce | NR | | 1.0 × 10 ⁻³ | Recommended literature value | [†] 1.0 × 10 ⁻³ | Recommended literature value | |
| Shrub fruit produce | NR | | 1.1 × 10 ⁻³ | Recommended literature value | [†] 1.1 × 10 ⁻³ | Recommended literature value | |
| Tree fruit produce | NR | | 1.0 × 10 ⁻³ | Extrapolated from other produce categories | [†] 1.0 × 10 ⁻³ | Extrapolated from other produce categories | |



⁴ K_{sw} experimental value at CI- ionic strength of 0.2 × 10⁻³ mol.
[†] Insufficient data to derive separate plant uptake factors for methylmercury compounds from soil solution and therefore data for inorganic compounds have been adopted.

Value estimated from soil-water partition coefficient for inorganic compounds of mercury at a fraction of organic carbon of 0.0348 (equivalent to 6% SOM).

FW = fresh weight

NA = not applicable because the CLEA model does not require these values in the derivation of assessment criteria for inorganic / organic chemicals

NR = not relevant as oral pathways are not considered



POTENTIALLY SOIL CONTAMINATING ACTIVITIES BY MERCURY

Mercury was used primarily in the recovery of gold and silver from ores and in the manufacture of fulminate (explosive salt) and vermillion (red pigment). It was also used in agriculture, alkaline batteries, chloralkali plants, dental fillings, paints, pharmaceuticals, thermometers, and in electrical apparatus. Many of these applications have now been phased out in western countries.

The main sources of contamination have been mining and smelting, burning of fossil fuels, industrial production of sodium hydroxide and chloride, and waste incineration although mercury also occurs in trace amounts in the production of chemical substances, chemical fertilizers, pharmaceutical products and catalyzers; batteries and fluorescent lights.

At the "Basel Convention Technical guidelines for the environmentally sound management of wastes consisting of elemental mercury and wastes containing or contaminated with mercury" you can find lists of activities source of mercury waste (table 2 of the guide). http://www.basel.int/Implementation/Publications/TechnicalGuidelines/tabid/2362/Default.aspx







REFERENCE VALUES FOR MERCURY IN SOIL

Table of reference values for mercury from the UK Environmental Agency "Soil Guideline Values for mercury in soil Science Report SC050021 / Mercury SGV "; https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/up loads/attachment_data/file/313877/scho0309bpqge-e.pdf





Table 2

The Soil Guideline Values for mercury presented in this table should only be used in conjunction with the information contained in this technical note and with an understanding of the exposure and toxicological assumptions contained in *Updated technical background to the CLEA model* (Environment Agency, 2009b), *Human health toxicological assessment of contaminants in soil* (Environment Agency, 2009c) and *Contaminants in soil: updated collation of toxicological data and intake values for humans. Mercury* (Environment Agency, 2009d).

| Landuco | Soil Guideline Value (mg kg ⁻¹ DW) ^{1,2} | | | | | |
|-------------|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Lanu use | Elemental Hg ⁴ | Inorgani c Hg ²⁺ | Methyl Hg ⁺⁴ | | | |
| Residential | 1.0 | 170 | 11 | | | |
| Allotment | 26 ³ | 80 | 8 | | | |
| Commercial | 26 ³ | 3,600 | 410 | | | |

¹ Based on a sandy loam soil (Environment Agency, 2009b) and 6% SOM.

² Figures are rounded to one or two significant figures.

³ SGV is based on the vapour saturation limit.

⁴ For the purposes of modelling the vapour inhalation pathway, elemental mercury and methylmercury are treated as organic.



ANALYSIS OF MERCURY IN WATER SAMPLES

Speciation in water is an important topic for the understanding of mercury behavior in the environment and for the treatability of water contaminated with mercury. Various forms of Hg arising from various means of treatment of the water sample have to be distinguished (e.g. filtration of sample and treatment with BrCl yields information on HgD = Hg°+ HgR + HgC; however acid digestion followed by analysis yields information on HgT = HgP+HgD):

- HgT = total
- HgP = particulate
- HgD = dissolved Hg
- HgR= reactive
- Hgo = gaseous
- HgC = colloidal / residual

Analysis of water samples for methyl-Hg may be accomplished with the use of isotopic tracers and GC-ICP-MS analysis.

Mercury levels in aquifers can only be compared with reference values (for example, those of the US-EPA) when the analyzed samples have not been filtered.



